

Query Processing: Evaluation of Relational Operations

Jennifer Widom

Advanced Databases – © P. Baumann



Steps in Database Query Processing

Parser – Checker - Views - Logical plan – Optim1 - Physical plan – Optim2 - Execution





Running Example

Tables (what are the keys?):

Student(ID, Name, Major) Course(Num, Dept) Taking(ID, Num)

Query to find all EE students taking at least one CS course:

π

X

σ

SELECT	Name
FROM	Student, Course, Taking
WHERE	Taking.ID = Student.ID
AND	Taking.Num = Course.Num
AND	Major = 'EE'
AND	Dept = 'CS'

... plus subqueries, aggregates, NULL, duplicates, ...

Checker (Validation)

Parser - Checker - Views - Logical plan - Rewriter - Physical plan - Code gen. - Execution

- Verifies query tree against database schema
 - All tables in FROM clause exist
 - All columns of tables exist
 - No ambiguities in table references or unqualified attribute references (table names usually added at this point)
 - All comparisons, aggregations, etc. are type-compatible
- Where does info come from?
 - System catalog



View Expander

Parser – Checker - Views - Logical plan – Optim1 - Physical plan – Optim2 - Execution

Suppose Student is view:

CREATE VIEW Student AS SELECT StudName.ID, Name, Major FROM StudName, StudMajor WHERE StudName.ID = StudMajor.ID



SELECT Name

FROM Student, Course, Taking

WHERE Taking.ID = Student.ID AND Taking.Num = Course.Num

AND Major = 'EE'

AND Dept = 'CS'

Via view expander original query becomes:

SELECT Name

FROM Course, Taking, Student AS (SELECT StudName.ID, Name, Major FROM StudName, StudMajor WHERE StudName.ID = StudMajor.ID)

WHERE Taking.ID = Student.ID AND Taking.Num = Course.Num AND

- Student.Major = 'EE' AND Course.Dept = 'CS' AND StudName.ID = StudMajor.ID
- "flattened": SELECT Name

FROM Course, Taking, StudName, StudMajor WHERE Taking.ID = StudName.ID AND Taking.Num = Course.Num AND StudMajor.Major = 'EE' AND Course.Dept = 'CS' AND StudName.ID = StudMajor.ID



Logical Plan

Parser – Checker - Views - Logical plan - Rewriter - Physical plan - Code gen. - Execution

- Extended relational algebra
 - Problem: SQL more than relational algebra \rightarrow additional complexity
- Leaf of logical plan = data source = table name
- Inner nodes:
 - Basic operators: SELECT, PROJECT, CROSS-PRODUCT, UNION, DIFFERENCE
 - Abbreviations: NATURAL-JOIN, THETA-JOIN, INTERSECT
 - Extensions: RENAME, AGGREGATE/GROUP-BY, DISTINCT (+ others)
- Usually straightforward mapping parse tree → "naive" logical query plan
 - Optimizer may rewrite to "better" plan

Logical Query Tree: Notation Overview

Parser - Checker - Views - Logical plan - Rewriter - Physical plan - Code gen. - Execution

- Logical query tree
 - = Logical plan = parsed query, translated into relational algebra
- Equivalent to relational algebra expression (why not calculus?) using:
 - × cross product
 - σ selection from set, based on condition *cond*
 - π projection to attributes
 - α application of an expression to arguments
 - ⊳⊲ joins...



SELECT α (op_1(R1,R2,...)),op_2(R1,R2,...), ...) FROM R1, R2, ... WHERE σ (R1,R2,...)

Logical Query Tree: Example



Query Optimization

Parser – Checker - Views - Logical plan – Optim1 - Physical plan – Optim2 - Execution

- Optimization = find better, equivalent plan
 - Equivalent = produces same result
 - Logical level optimization = aka heuristic optimization
 - Physical level optimization = aka cost-based optimization
- Two main issues:
 - For a given query, how to find cheapest plans?
 - How is cost of a plan estimated?



Logical ("Heuristic") Optimization

Parser – Checker - Views - Logical plan – Optim1 - Physical plan – Optim2 - Execution

- logical tree \rightarrow (more efficient) logical tree
 - heuristically apply algebraic equivalences
 - heuristics = "looks good, let's try it!"
- Ex: "push down predicates"

 $\sigma_{major='EE'}(\triangleright \triangleleft_{Taking.ID=Student.ID}(Taking,Student)) = \triangleright \triangleleft_{Taking.ID=Student.ID}(Taking,\sigma_{major='EE'}(Student))$ $\sigma Student.Major='EE'$ $\sigma Student.ID$ $\sigma Student.ID$ $\sigma Student.Major='EE'$ $\sigma Student.Major='EE'$ Taking

Heuristic Optimization: Another Example [src]

C>ONSTRUCTOR



Physical Query Plan

Parser – Checker - Views - Logical plan - Rewriter - Physical plan - Code gen. - Execution

- Typically, several algorithm variants for implementing query node = operator
- Physical plan created by concretizing particular algorithm per node
 - Based on indexes, table sizing, predicate selectivity, ...





Sample Physical Plan, Textual

Parser - Checker - Views - Logical plan - Rewriter - Physical plan - Code gen. - Execution

IBM Informix Dynamic Server

for each row in the customer table do: read the row into C for each row in the orders table do: read the row into O if O.customer num = C.customer num then for each row in the items table do: read the row into I if I.order num = O.order num then accept the row and send to user end if end for end if end for end for

SET EXPLAIN ON AVOID_EXECUTE;SELECTC.customer_num, O.order_numFROMcustomer C, orders O, items IWHEREC.customer_num = O.customer_numAND O.order_num = I.order_num

In PostgreSQL: EXPLAIN ANALYZE

Physical Plan Operators

Parser – Checker - Views - Logical plan - Rewriter - Physical plan - Code gen. - Execution

- Usually: physical plan leaf = table, index
- Access methods for single tables:
 - Table scan: SCAN(table)
 - Index scan: INDEX-SCAN(index)
 - Condition-based index scan: INDEX-SCAN-P (index, predicate) (note: obviously the predicate must be compatible with the index to be scanned)
- Join methods:
 - NESTED-LOOP JOIN (various algorithms / improvements);
 - SORT-MERGE JOIN
 - HASH JOIN (various algorithms)
- In a parallel system: EXCHANGE
- In a distributed system: SHIP

Physical Plan Generation

Parser - Checker - Views - Logical plan - Rewriter - Physical plan - Code gen. - Execution

- Even more possible physical query plans for a given logical plan
- physical plan generator tries to select "optimal" one
 - sometimes called "physical plan enumerator"
 - usually wrt response time or (in some cases) throughput
- How are intermediate results passed from children to parents?
 - Temporary files
 - Iterator interface (next)



Iterator Interface

Parser – Checker - Views - Logical plan - Rewriter - Physical plan - Code gen. - Execution

- Every operator maintains its own execution state, implements the following methods:
 - open(): Initialize state
 - getNext(): Return next tuple (or null pointer); read more data when needed
 - close():
 Clean up
- "ONC protocol"



Iterator for Table Scan

Parser - Checker - Views - Logical plan - Rewriter - Physical plan - Code gen. - Execution

- open()
 - Allocate buffer space
- getNext()
 - If no block of R has been read yet: read first block from the disk; return first tuple in the block (or null pointer if R is empty)
 - If no more tuple left in current block: read next block of R from disk; return first tuple in block (or null pointer if no more blocks in R)
 - Return next tuple in block
- close()
 - Deallocate buffer space

Iterator for Nested-Loop Join

Parser - Checker - Views - Logical plan - Rewriter - Physical plan - Code gen. - Execution

- open()
 - R.open(); S.open();
 - r = R.getNext();
- getNext()

```
    Repeat until r and s join:

            s = S.getNext();
            if (s = = null)
            { S.close(); S.open(); s = S.getNext();
            if (s = = null) return null;
            r = R.getNext();
            if (r = = null) return null;
            }
```

for r in R: for s in S: if r joins s then return rs

- return rs;
- close()
 - R.close(); S.close();



Physical ("Cost-Based") Optimization

Parser – Checker - Views - Logical plan – Optim1 - Physical plan – Optim2 - Execution

- Approach:
 - enumerate all (?) possible physical plans that can be derived from given logical plan
 - estimate cost for each plan
 - pick best (i.e., least cost) alternative
- Ideally: Want to find best plan; practically: Avoid worst plans!





PROJECT(Name)

Physical ("Cost-Based") Optimization

Parser – Checker - Views - Logical plan – Optim1 - Physical plan – Optim2 - Execution

- Estimate costs, based on physical situation
 - concrete table sizes, indexes, data distribution, ...



Summary: Logical vs Physical Query Plan

Parser – Checker - Views - Logical plan - Rewriter - Physical plan - Code gen. - Execution

C>ONSTRUCTOR

- Both are trees representing query evaluation
- Leaves of the tree represent data (table vs table/index)
- Internal nodes of the tree = "operators" over the data
- Logical vs physical plan:

	Level	Operators
Logical plan	higher-level, algebraic	query language constructs
Physical plan	lower-level, operational	"access methods"



Optional: Code Generator

Parser – Checker - Views - Logical plan - Rewriter - Physical plan - Code gen. - Execution

- Translates physical query plan tree into executable code
 - Possibly mixed hardware: CPU, GPU, FPGA, ...
- Often instead: compile into "database machine code" program
- Very system-specific
 - may instead use a query plan interpreter (see next)



Finale: Execution of Tree

Parser – Checker - Views - Logical plan - Rewriter - Physical plan - Optim. - Execution



```
result = {};
root.open();
do
{
    tmp = root.getNext();
    result += tmp;
} while (tmp != NULL);
root.close();
return result;
```

- Recursive evaluation of tree
 - Requests go down
 - Intermediate result tuples go up
- Often instead: compile into "database machine code" program
 - CPU, GPU, FPGA, ...



Summary

