

# *"Better Prevent Than Cure":* Defensive Programming

Instructor: Peter Baumann

- email: pbaumann@constructor.university
- tel: -3178
- office: room 88, Research 1

Credits: Fresh Sources Inc.

#### Cannot find REALITY.SYS. Universe halted.

#### **Big Code - Lines of Code**

Average iPhone app Hubble Space Telescope Windows 3.1 (1992) Control software for US military drone Windows NT 3.1 (1993) HD DVD Player Xbox World of Warcraft Server Google Chrome Windows NT 4 (1996) MySQL Boing 787 Flight Software F35 Fighter jet Microsoft Office 2013 Large Hadron Collider Facebook US Army Future Combat System MacOS X 4.1 Tiger Average high-end car 1.3+ million iPhone apps,

1.3+ million Android apps = 170billion lines source: http://www.informationisbeautiful.net/visualizations/million-lines-of-code/

- = 50.000 lines
- = 2 million lines
- = 2.5 million lines
- = 3.5 million lines
- = 4.5 million lines
- = 4.5 million lines
- = 5.5 million lines
- = 6.5 million lines
- = 11 million lines
- = 12 million lines
- = 14 million lines
- = 23 million lines
- = 44 million lines
- = 50 million lines
- = 61 million lines
- = 63 million lines
- = 85 million lines
- = 100 million lines





## **Big Data in Industry**

- Industry 4.0: integration of production & IT
  - Optimising value chain & life cycle
- Automobiles
  - Networked with co-traffic, traffic lights,  $\dots \rightarrow PB$  a day
  - BMW iDrive:
    - Onboard 40+ sensors, 30+ antennas
    - Gbit Ethernet, up to 30 Gb/s
    - $5G \rightarrow BMW$  Cloud
- Airplanes
  - A380: 1b LoC
  - Per engine: 1 TB / 3 min
    - LHR  $\rightarrow$  JFK = 640 TB









#### **Software Crisis**

- difficult to write useful & efficient computer programs in the required time
- Reason: rapid increases in computer power, complexity of problems that could be tackled
- Consequences:
  - Projects running over-budget, over-time
  - Software inefficient, of low quality, not meeting requirements
  - Projects unmanageable, code difficult to maintain
  - Software was never delivered



## **Software Extinction Events**

- 1950s: assembler code not manageable
  - symbolic PLs: COBOL, FORTRAN
- 1960s: 100,000s LoC not manageable
  - structured programming [Djikstra et al]:
    - Bad stmts forbidden; blocks to enter at top & leave at bottom
  - disentangled code → easier to read + test + maintain; measurable!
- 1980s: multi-millions LoC not manageable
  - object orientation, UML
- 2000s: proliferating Web services not manageable
  - service-oriented architecture: functional building-blocks accessible over standard Internet



#### Spaghetti Code

#### foo.h

#define BAR(x,y) (x)=2\*(y)
#define FOO(x) BAR(index,x)

#### foo.c

```
#include "foo.h"
int index = 42;
```

```
int f()
{    int i;
    for ( i=0; i<10; i++ )
    {        FOO(i);
            weirdStuff(index,i);
    }
</pre>
```



Image: Wikipedia – check it out!

Now some "purist" renames i to index ...



#### **Software Crisis: Response**

- Structured programming
  - Functions, blocks...all is better than goto!
  - Avoid spaghetti code
- Object-oriented programming
- Defensive programming
  - Better check twice
     in particular across interfaces!
  - Runtime checks, safer PLs
- Correctness proofs
- Systematic testing

Software Engineering – © P. Baumann



Image: Wikipedia – check it out!



## **Defensive Programming**

- Prevention is better than cure, therefore:
- Defensive Programming intends "to ensure the continuing function of a piece of software in spite of unforeseeable usage of said software"
  - [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Defensive\_programming]
- Good design yields better product
  - Defending against errors avoids lengthy debugging sessions
- Good design should be *evident* in code
  - Code is executable; comments aren't
  - Key design checkpoints should be checked by your code



## **Defensive Programming: Example**

```
int risky_programming(char *input){
  char str[1000+1];
 // ...
  strcpy(str, input);
 // ...
                     int secure_programming(char *input){
                       char str[1000];
                       // ...
                       strncpy(str, input, sizeof(str));
                       str[sizeof(str) - 1] = '\0';
                       // ...
```

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Defensive\_programming]



#### Invariants

- Conditions that do not vary
  - "Design mileposts" in your code
- Loop invariants
  - True at beginning of each loop iteration (and after termination if all went well)
- Class invariants
  - True before and after each method call
- Method invariants
  - Pre- and post conditions
  - Part of "Design-by-contract"

#### **Loop Invariant Example**

• Program for computing the factorial of (integer) n:

Credit: Alden Wright, U of Montana

C>ONSTRUCTOR

UNIVERSITY





# Loop Invariant Example (contd.)

- The loop invariant can be:
  - fact = i!
- Initialization:
  - Before first iteration: i=1, fact=1 => fact=i!
- Maintenance:
  - Let i , fact denote values on previous iteration
  - Assume fact =i'!, prove fact=i!
  - Proof:

i = i +1 and fact = fact \*i // after loop body
fact = i !
fact \*i = i ! \* i // multiplying both sides by i
fact = (i-1)! \* i
fact = i!

• Termination:

- When loop terminates, i = n
- This plus the loop invariant implies postcondition.
- Precondition necessary!

```
uint factorial( uint n )
{    uint i = 1, uint fact = 1;
    while (i != n)
        i++, fact *= i;
    return fact;
}
```



#### **Class Invariants**

- All constructors should place their object in a valid state
- All methods should leave their object in a valid state
  - pre-condition and post-condition together should guarantee this
  - Better than just blind coding and testing!
- Example: **Rational** class:
  - denominator > 0
  - gcd(num, den) = = 1



#### **Method Invariants**

- "Design by Contract"
  - Introduced by a Frenchman working in Switzerland living in California
- Methods are contracts with the user
- Users must meet pre-conditions of the method
  - Index in a certain range, for example
- Method guarantees post-conditions



# **Design by Contract: Example**

- Users must meet method's preconditions:
  - "s is a string with length between 0 and SMAX-1"
  - "n is an integer between 0 and NMAX"
- drawback:
  - frequent "still all ok?" checks
    - But simple sequence, no deep "if" nesting

```
int myFunc( char *s, int n )
ł
    int result = RC OK;
    if (s = NULL)
        result = RC_INPUT_ERROR;
    else if (strlen(s) >= SMAX)
            result = RC_INPUT_ERROR;
    else if (n < 0 || n > NMAX)
        result = RC INPUT ERROR;
    if (result = = RC_OK)
        do whatever is to be done;
    return result;
```



#### Enforcing Invariants – aka "Error Handling"

- Several techniques available, best usage depends...
- assertions = force-terminate program
  - For programmer errors that don't depend on end user, non-public member functions
- exceptions = break flow of control (aka goto)
  - For pre-conditions on **public** member functions
- return codes = data-oriented, keep flow of control
  - Post-conditions are usually a method's output

#### C>ONSTRUCTOR UNIVERSITY

#### Assertions

- assert() macro
  - around since old C days
- if argument is false:
  - prints expression, file, and line number
  - then calls abort ()
- Handling:
  - Enabled by default
  - Can turn off with NDEBUG:
  - #define NDEBUG #include <cassert>

```
void MyVector::push_back( int x )
{
    if (nextSlot == capacity)
        grow();
    assert( nextSlot < capacity );
    data[ nextSlot++ ] = x;
}</pre>
```

- Brute force method
- Never ever use it in production!!!
  - (would you like it in your editor?)



#### **Exceptions**

- Interrupt regular flow of control, ripple up calling hierarchy
  - Until matching try/catch embrace
  - Otherwise abort program
- Exceptions are classes!
  - throw() instantiates exception object
  - can have parameters
  - catch sensitive per exception type
- Can have multiple catch()
  - **catch(...)** sensitive to any exception type

```
try
{
    s = myFunc();
}
catch (Error &e)
{
    // error log, file emergency close, ...
}
char *myFunc() throw (Error)
{
    char *myPtr = malloc( size );
```

throw new Error(ERR\_BAD\_ALLOC);

if (myPtr == NULL)

return myPtr;



#### **Return Codes**

#### Methods have a return parameter

- For otherwise void result, it carries only success information
- If method has regular result: reserve otherwise unused value
  - NULL for strings, -1 for int, ...
- It's an interface property
   -- document clearly!
  - ...and check in caller code!
- Strongly recommended: single-return functions
  - use a local result variable!

```
int myFunc( string s, int n )
ł
    int result = RC_OK;
    if (s = NULL)
        result = RC_INPUT_ERROR;
    else if (strlen(s) >= SMAX)
            result = RC_INPUT_ERROR;
    else if (n < 0 \parallel n > NMAX)
        result = RC INPUT ERROR;
    if (result = = RC_OK)
        do whatever is to be done;
    return result;
```



## **Excursion: Another Real-Life Example**

for ( count = 0, \*templateList = myClass\_New ( templateCount, char \*);
 \*templateList
 && count < templateCount
 && ( ( \*templateList)[count] = aux\_Duplicate (templates[count] ) );
 count++ );</pre>

- documenting this takes longer than writing a clear version of the code.
- no error handling at all!
- How to do better?



## **Structured Programming**

- Structured programming
  - = component-level design technique [Djikstra et al, early 1960s] which uses only small set of programming constructs
- Principle: building blocks to enter at top & leave at bottom
  - Good: sequence(";"); condition; repetition
  - Bad: (computed) goto; break; continue; ...
- Advantage: less complex code  $\rightarrow$  easier to read + test + maintain
  - Measurable quality: small complexity (e.g., cyclometric)
  - ...but no dogma: if it leads to excessive complexity, violating can be ok







## Apple 'goto fail' Bug [more]

```
static OSStatus SSLVerifySignedServerKeyExchange (
   SSLContext *ctx, bool isRsa, SSLBuffer signedParams,
   uint8 t *signature, UInt16 signatureLen )
{
   OSStatus err;
   if (( err = SSLHashSHA1. update(&hashCtx , &serverRandom )) != 0)
      goto fail;
   if (( err = SSLHashSHA1. update(&hashCtx , &signedParams )) != 0)
      goto fail;
      goto fail;
   if (( err = SSLHashSHA1. final(&hashCtx , &hashOut )) != 0)
   goto fail;
   . . .
fail:
                                       • 2012 – 2014: Apple iOS SSL/TLS library
   SSLFreeBuffer(&signedHashes);
                                         falsely accepted faulty certificates
   SSLFreeBuffer(&hashCtx );
   return err;
                                       • Impersonation, man-in-the-middle attacks
}
                                                       use beautifiers!!!
```



# **Excursion: Expressing Control Flow**

- Real-life example!
- Nesting-bad.cc: original code
  - how easy to follow & change?
- Nesting-good.cc: modified code
  - less lines, less columns, less nesting, less getting lost



#### **Code Guides**

- Code guide
  - = set of rules to which programmers must (should) adhere
    - Within company or project
- Twofold purpose:
  - Have uniform style
    - = less surprises = better learning curve for newbies
  - Codify best practice
     = what is acknowledged to be advantageous
- Varying, individual, maybe not all convincing...yet: stick with it!
- Let's see an example code guide...



# **Core Coding Rules**

- Reflect before typing!
  - why are you doing what you are doing?
  - what is the best approach?
- Be pedantic
  - As far as ever possible, make it foolproof
  - No monkey tricks
  - Document!
- Design cost-aware
  - is it worth the effort?
  - Is it maintainable?



# **Tool Support: What Language?**

- "Certain programming languages, including C/C++, enable bugs because of how the language was designed" – NIAG SG254
  - memory unsafety fixes: Microsoft 70%, Apple 66%, Android 90%, Chromium 70%
- Safety coding rules constraining allowable language constructs for:
  - Worst case memory & stack usage & analysis; Data coupling & control coupling analysis; Heap fragmentation; Code coverage & test coverage analysis; Object code analysis; memory & thread safety; Portability
- Overcoming C/C++: Ada, Rust, ...
  - Correctness: "if it compiles, then it works"
  - Strong typing semantics as well as the "ownership" and "borrowing" concepts
  - Null pointer safety, thread safety
  - High-level, zero-cost abstractions and language features resulting in clear & concise code



## Summary

- Defensive Programming
  - = practises to avoid bugs upfront
- Helpful:

think in terms of assertions / contracts / pre- and postconditions / ...

- Document and check preconditions for all public interfaces
- Document postconditions (results, exceptions, ...) and keep that promises
- How to write unmaintainable code: <u>http://mindprod.com/jgloss/unmain.html</u>
- Not addressed here: security
  - Signed config files & executables